



Determinant of Global Justice as Peacekeeping and Humanitarian Aid

Bharat Maurya, Research Scholar, Phd Department of Political Science, J.T. University Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan
Dr. Ram Darshan, Guide, Department of Political Science, J.T.U Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan
Dr. Subhash Chandra Arora, Co-Guide, Department of Political Science, J.T.U Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan

Abstract

The principle of justice implemented globally is called global justice. When it is implemented at the domestic level, it is a traditional practice. The world must share the sound and problem equally so that the world can be just. In a global society, some have, and some have not. There are many ways to understand whether there is international justice or not, like education, health, terrorism, poverty, peacekeeping, humanitarian aid, environment, and economic growth.

Keyword- Environment, Poverty, Global terror, Humanitarian aid,

Introduction- In understanding Global Justice, there is a determinant on which we can measure justice. Some research and reports show how global justice can be achieved. Based at the Fudan Institute for Advanced Study in Social Sciences, the Index is a multiyear research effort that evaluates each nation's contributions to achieving greater global justice.

We are releasing our fifth year of results, encompassing data from 2021 in Global Justice Index Report 2023. This report is an updated version of previous reports, covering 2010 to 2020 in the Global Justice Index Report from 2019 to 2022. However, in response to developments worldwide, we have been enhancing our index year after year. The introduction, findings, key results, and conclusion are the four sections that make up the report. We review their evolution in the introduction to support our conceptual framework and evaluative criteria choice. Dimensions and measurement indicators Fudan-IAS is now working on a research project called the Global Justice Index to gauge how well nation-states have achieved global justice. We offer a framework for comprehending the contribution based on the information gathered for this research. Every nation contributed to advancing global justice and displayed the all-county rankings for every subject. We are currently providing our fifth year of results, which includes data until 2021, after publishing results for years 2010 through 2020. The introduction, the findings, the key results, and the conclusion make up the four sections of our report. Fudan-IAS is now working on a research project called the Global Justice Index to gauge how well nation-states have achieved global justice. We offer a framework for comprehending the contribution based on the information gathered for this research.

The objective of research -

- 1- To Understand the determinants of global justice.
- 2-To examine how humanitarian aid can help to eliminate injustice from society.
- 3-To. Analyzing peacekeeping can help people overcome their burdens.

Hypothesis-1-Word is unjust.

- 2-There is a lack of humanitarian aid in a global society.
- 3-At a global level, there is no central control on terror.

In 2021, the United Nations reaffirmed its commitment to peacekeeping operations, which is essential to maintaining regional stability, protecting civilians, and promoting lasting peace. This mission's success depends on crucial contributions, resources, and workers from participating countries. A country's total economic and human contribution is a critical metric when evaluating its contribution to regional growth, global peace, and the welfare of its population impacted by regional instability. Two factors primarily impacted the United Nations peacekeeping mission environment in 2021. First, on March 30, the Security Council unanimously adopted Resolution 2518 (2020) in response to the worldwide pandemic. 2020. This resolution introduced two significant changes: the focus on protecting peacekeeping staff allowed the UN to continue its peacekeeping operations despite the threats posed by the pandemic, and the precautions taken to safeguard peacekeeping staff were expanded to help local community efforts to prevent epidemics. First, countries provide human resources in four categories: staff officers, troops, UN Military Experts on Mission, and police. Our earlier



publications' usage of the biased phrase "troops and police" actually refers to All four staff categories instead of just two. Second, to account for variations in the monthly total number of personnel deployed, we totaled the number of people sent by each country and used this total to calculate the personnel contribution.

Result and Discussion- Although this method departs from the term "humanitarian aid," it describes providing material and logistical support to those in need, including food, water, shelter, and medical attention to individuals impacted by natural disasters or other dire situations. The purpose of humanitarian aid is to save lives, lessen pain, and enhance circumstances for people experiencing crises. Usually, governments, voluntary organizations, humanitarian groups, and other specialized disaster relief organizations offer short-term support to fill the gap until long-term assistance takes over.

Humanitarian aid must be given to those in need to advance global justice. Helping those impacted by catastrophes and natural disasters, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or religion, helps protect them. Additionally, the supply of humanitarian aid dramatically aids the development of relationships between communities and groups. Europe continued to perform well in terms of humanitarian assistance in 2021. In 2021, Germany remained the leading European nation, followed by Sweden and the United Kingdom, which are the top three European nations in the 2020 ranking. Germany donated over \$4 billion to help individuals impacted by natural disasters and wars. About 10% of this went to the UN High Commissioner for Refugees, while 33% went to the UN World Food Program. Afghanistan was the largest recipient nation, followed by the Syrian Arab Republic.

Conclusion—The principle of justice is traditionally used domestically, but John Rawls Pogge and other thinkers suggested using it globally. The above study shows how the globe needs humanitarian aid and social peace for people to develop a decent society. Peace is required to create any society. If we want justice, then we must maintain peace in the world. Justice required many factors for distribution regarding taste; either reasonable or burden must be equally distributed to jobs, health service, infrastructure, etc.,

Bibliography-Abbasi. F., K. Riaz. (2016). CO2 emissions and financial development in an energy economy. VAR Apparatus Energy Policy.90.102-114.

Bardhan, P. (2005). Globalization and Rural Poverty. UNU World Institute for Development Economics Research. 30.1.1-15.

Barry, N. (1995). An Introduction to Modern Political Theory. London, The Macmillan Press.

Schutter, O. (2024). The Poverty of Growth. London, Pluto Press.

Boran, I., (2008). The Circumstances of Global Justice. Public Affairs Quarterly. 22. 4. 335-348.

Brown. (2017). Poverty alleviation, Global justice, and the Real world. Ethics and International Affairs 31.3.357-365.

Child Poverty, Knowledge, and Policy in Asia. (2022). (In Child, Poverty, and Policy Edited.vol.1), Bristol University Press.

Cimadmre, A. (2016). Global Justice, International Relations, and the Sustainable Development Goals. Journal of International and Comparative Society Policy.1.1-18

Cohen, G.A. (2011). On The Currency of Egalitarian Justice, and Other Essays in Political Philosophy. Princeton. Princeton University Press.

Emery, L.A(2002). Concerning Justice. NJ. The Law Book Exchange.

Freeman, S. (2006). Frontiers of Justice: The Capabilities Approach versus Contractarianism. Texas Law Reviews. 85. 2. 385-430.

Gao, Q., He, A.J. (2022). Poverty Alleviation in Asia: Future directions in measurement, Monitoring, and impact evaluation. Journal of Asian Public Policy.15.42-42.

Gilabert, P., (2008) Global Justice and Poverty Relief in Non-ideal Circumstances. Florida State University Development of Philosophy. 31.3.411-438.